

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FU IN C D 4					SM
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FI	RST NAMED INVE	NTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/341,379	07/09/99	' AISA			V	MERL0060US
		****	-	\neg		EXAMINER
PATRICIA A	. SHEEHAN	1M22	2/0627			
					BECKE	ER,D
		LLP ENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON MA O		CINCE			1761	12
					DATE MAILED):
•						06/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/341,379	AISA, VALERIO				
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Drew E Becker	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within tw reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR co whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory perio mailing date of the final rejection.	ontinues to run from the mailing date of the od for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS	final rejection, from the				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon with requisite fees.	the timely submission of a Notice	e of Appeal and Appeal Brief				
3.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);						
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
8.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s)	s as follows (see attached writter	n explanation, if any):				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-31</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a)∏has b)∏ has not been appro	oved by the Examiner.				
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
11. Other:						

id 121 &

Drew Becker_ 6-20-01

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issue and new matter would be the linking of the "first information" and "first functions" to a control panel.

KEITH HENDRICKS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

2